

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTÖRNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,666	04/30/2001	William E. McKinzie III	10599/10	2274
757	7590 09/25/2003			
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 103 CHICAGO, II	• -		LE, HOAN	IGANH T
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2821	
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Office Action Summary

09/845,666

Applicant(s)

MCKINZIE et al

Examiner

HOANGANH LE

Art Unit 2821



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply				
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
mailing	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th				
- If NO p - Failure - Any re		and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 22, 20	003			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex particles.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 4-15, 17, 18, and 20-47	is/are pending in the application.			
		is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 4-15, 17, 18, and 20-47	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	ition Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ∟	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 				
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
. +, <u></u> a) <u></u>					
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
Attachme		priority diled: 90 01010. 33 120 dile/of 121.			
1) X Not	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Not	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					

Application Serial Number: 09/845,666

Office Action: 3

Art Unit: 2821 File Paper Number: 12

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on July 22, 2003 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schaubert et al (the US Patent No.4,367,474, of record).

The Schaubert et al reference teaches in figure 11 a frequency selective surface having an effective sheet capacitance which is variable to control resonant of the artificial magnetic conductor (figure 3B).

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d

Application Serial Number: 09/845,666

Office Action: 2 File Paper Number: 10

Art Unit: 2821

1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- Claims 1,4-15,17,18 and 20-47 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-34 of U.S. Patent No. 6,525,695. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it has been held that omission of an element (decoupling resistors, a tunable dielectric film) and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. -Tn Tn (and Tn) are Karlson, 136 USPQ 184.
- 6. Claims 1,4-15,17,18 and 20-47 are provisionally rejected under the judicially

1-33 of copending Application No. 10/246,198. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it has been held that omission of an element (an antenna element) and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. -Tn -re Karlson, 136 USPQ 184.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed July 22, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., varactor diodes 412 are positioned between and connected to adjacent patches of the FSS 402) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Application Serial Number: 09/845,666

Art Unit: 2821

Office Action: 2

File Paper Number: 10

Correspondence

- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner Hoanganh Le whose telephone number is (703) 308-4921.
- 9. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.
- 10. Papers related to Technology Center 2800 applications only may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Any transmission not to be considered an official response must be clearly marked "DRAFT". The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Technology Center Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 or (703) 308-7724.

Hoanganh Le Primary Examiner Art Unit 2821 September 5, 2003

> Hoanganh Le Primary Examiner